UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/814,774	03/30/2004	David K. Parker	02453.0033.NPUS00	8909
27194 HOWREY LLF	7590 03/23/200 P-CA	9	EXAM	IINER
	IP DOCKETING DEPARTMENT I FAIRVIEW PARK DRIVE, SUITE 200 LS CHURCH, VA 22042-2924		AHMED, SALMAN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2419	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/23/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/814,774	PARKER ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	SALMAN AHMED	2419	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Cf after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by s Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUN FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO statute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communic BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice und	This action is non-final. owance except for formal mat		ts is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 and 24-27 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) 24 and 27 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11,20,25 and 26 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 12-19,21 and 22 is/are objected to 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	ndrawn from consideration. I. to. nd/or election requirement.		
9)☑ The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the continuous The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeya prrection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.13	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a 	ments have been received. ments have been received in a priority documents have beer ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage)
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	3) Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application 	

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words (The current Abstract is approximately 196 words). It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 11 is objected to because of the following informalities: line 10 Examiner suggests to modify "first a" to –a first--. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-10 and 25-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Application/Control Number: 10/814,774 Page 3

Art Unit: 2419

Claim 1 states "processor readable medium" without specifying whether the medium being "physical" or "logical".

Specification page 7 states:

"The term "memory" refers to any processor-readable physical or <u>logical</u> medium ... the term "logic" refers to implementations in hardware, <u>software</u>, or combinations of hardware and software.

As such, "processor readable medium," if "logical" refers to "processor readable software implemented medium."

Therefore, the claim is directed a "software" (descriptive material) *per se* as recited in the preamble and is considered non-statutory subject matter. (See MPEP 2I06.IV.B.1(a)). Data structures not claimed as embodied in computer-readable (physical or tangible) media are descriptive material per se and are not statutory because they are not capable of causing functional change in the computer. See, e.g., Warmerdam, 33 F.3d at I36 I, 31 USPQ2d at 1760 (claim to a data structure per se held nonstatutory). Such claimed data structures do not define any structural and functional interrelationships between the data structure and other claimed aspects of the invention, which permit the data structure's functionality to be realized.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/814,774 Page 4

Art Unit: 2419

3. Claim 1 recites the limitation "the one or more decoded commands" in line 13. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

- 4. Claim 8 recites the limitation "the modification processor" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 5. Claim 11 recites the limitation "the one or more decoded commands" in line 24.

 There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 6. Claim 20 recites the limitation "the modification processor" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claims 24 and 27 are allowed.
- 8. Claims 11 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 9. Claims 12-19 and 21-22 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments see pages 7-12 of the Remarks section, filed 1/21/2009, with respect to the rejections of the claims have been fully considered and are persuasive. However, a new ground of rejections has been presented in this office action. As such, any further response to Applicant's argument is moot.

Application/Control Number: 10/814,774 Page 5

Art Unit: 2419

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SALMAN AHMED whose telephone number is (571)272-8307. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 am - 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edan Orgad can be reached on (571) 272-7884. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Salman Ahmed/

Examiner, Art Unit 2419